

Submission Cover Sheet

# Review of the Moratorium on GM Canola

**Submission Number:** 176

**Name of Individual/Organisation:** Mark Mc Dougall

**Date Received:** 17 August 2007

**Number of Pages:** 1

**Attachments Submitted with this Submission:**

To obtain copies of these attachments please call the Review Panel Secretariat on  
(03) 9658 4874 or (03) 9658 4871

Submission 176

B 70  
17/8

RECEIVED  
DU

[gmcrops.review@dpi.nsw.gov.au](mailto:gmcrops.review@dpi.nsw.gov.au),  
[GMcanola.Review@dpi.vic.gov.au](mailto:GMcanola.Review@dpi.vic.gov.au),  
[gmcropsactreview@saugov.sa.gov.au](mailto:gmcropsactreview@saugov.sa.gov.au),  
[sue.mcleod@parliament.tas.gov.au](mailto:sue.mcleod@parliament.tas.gov.au),  
Premier Morris Iemma,  
Premier John Brumby,  
Premier Mike Rann,  
David Llewellyn  
John Howard.

Re, GM Food Ban Review.

Dear Legislators and Reviewers,

were you to conduct a review of the ban on smoking exclusive to human health and safety and the environment you would be blatantly negligent and unsupportable.

Were you to conduct a review on the limit of poker machines and gambling, again disregarding human health and safety and the social environment you again would be negligent and disreputable.

The ban on engineered food crops is to protect us. Dr. Maarten Stapper corresponded with "The Land, July 26 p22", relating how testing has not been done. Government surely must consider the costs to society of sick days, weeks, months and lifetimes.

Thalidomide was fine for morning sickness but a disaster for the gestating humans.

DDT was sprayed all over people, lanes and beaches until we recognised its toxicity.

The same applied for shoe shiners using radioactive polishes - all the rage in the moment, but someone pays for eternity.

Will we, as a society, have to carry a multiplicity of conditions from infertility to unimaginable sub-par health from tomorrow onwards? One only has to visit a hospital to begin to appreciate the cost transition from health to illness.

Surely the potential of these costs warrants testing over generations and prudence demands it.

To think that there has not even been testing over months will surely in hindsight be seen as a cover-up beyond anything the tobacco corporates managed.

To exclude Human Health and Safety and the Environment from such reviews is not merely myopic, blinkered and short sighted, it is reckless.

Yours

Ashamedly,  
Mark Mc Dougall